Submission ID: 14340

In addition to all of my previous representations to both the Planning Inspectorate and the applicant regarding my grave concerns about the proposal, at this deadline, I would also like to highlight my concern following the submission from the Environment Agency at the last deadline. The fact the applicant has no permanent source to treat $\hat{a} \in foul water'$ and would only be able to install a PTP on a temporary basis is a major concern and yet another reason for this project not to be granted planning permission. The Environment Agency state that $\hat{a} \in a$ PTP is not considered to be a long-term sustainable solution'. Lack of capacity to serve such a large scale project must be given weight in the argument for the site being unsuitable. The applicant would have to $\hat{a} \in a$ in the long term- have an onsite treatment plant adding to further environmental issues and concerns for those on the site and the surrounding area. If the infrastructure at the site is not adequate, then the applicant should look for somewhere more suitable where the infrastructure is already in place.

In addition, I would also like to highlight, in the applicants' own statement, that this project will be North Lincolnshire's 6th largest emitter of contaminants into the atmosphere. North Lincolnshire emissions, if this project was to be accepted, would increase rather than the intended decrease of 14% each year to meet NLCs commitment to limit climate change. The project is essentially going to use road as it main source of infrastructure to bring materials to the site therefore adding to the already high emission levels in North Lincolnshire. The rail infrastructure that the project says it will utilise is still in dispute so this may be a unlikely source to move materials to the site.